Rabbi Aryeh Kaplan

at if a man ich he hired ie may give Otherwise mora asks: r — let him ıke a reduche Gemora rters of his ed to carryney became full. (Baba

an — a man l could not work.

he hospital 23:15, 16). at the Rosh

dar. שהקב״ה the event. or the Holy n his day's



The Omer

I. Omer, When Every Jew Counts

On the second night of Pesach each year, Jews all His wisdom over the world begin counting the Omer-the days e middle of between Pesach and Shavuos-in accordance with the leb Boruch commandment: "You shall count from the day after the estival-Sabbath, from the day that you brought the ill clear but Omer offering, seven full weeks. Until the day after the eventh week, you shall number fifty days, and you ind what he shall then bring a new meal offering to G-d" (Vayikra

Although the korban omer was a communal offering, e could not the days between Pesach and Shavuos had to be counted not only by the communal representatives, but at Moshe? by each and every individual Jew.1

inderstand? To fully understand the reason for this, we must first irit left this explore another point. Shavuos is known as the annirienced an versary of the giving of the Torah—for it was on Shavuos, 2448, that all Israel stood at the foot of Mount at, the day Sinai and heard the declaration of the Ten Commandbbeinu was ments, as one can calculate from the Torah's account of

Yet, nowhere among the many references to Shavuos Tzaddikim in the Torah is any mention made of the day as the When the inniversary of the giving of the Torah. Why is this e sun set at most significant aspect of Shavuos omitted?

There are a number of explanations offered to this. reed other- The Alshech explains that the Israelites reached the - he will be highest possible spiritual level with the giving of the Torah, a level that was subsequently lost with the sin of he Golden Calf. To specifically commemorate the iniial revelation at Sinai would also mean recalling this reat spiritual loss. Thus the Torah does not explicitly escribe Shavuos as marking the giving of the Torah.

> The Abarbanel offers a somewhat different approach. Remembering the giving of the Torah is a constant obliation upon every Jew. It is therefore not appropriate to

> RABBI KAPLAN is a well-known author and translator whose tticles are frequently featured in JO pages. Among his many works re The Torah Anthology, which he is translating from the original adino version of MeAm Loez, and Jerusalem, the Edge of the Uniperse, which is reviewed in this issue.

designate a single day for specific commemoration.

Nonetheless, it would be very surprising if the Torah provided no hint whatsoever to the great significance of this day. Both the above commentators state that there is an allusion to it in the counting of the Omer: The Omer days are counted, because of the outstanding significance of the period between Pesach and Shavuos in Jewish history. On Pesach, the Israelites began as newly freed slaves; and during the ensuing seven weeks, they were elevated to a level where they would be worthy of hearing G-d's voice proclaiming the Commandments. The very fact that Shavuos comes at the end of this counting alludes to its importance as the day upon which the Torah was given.

This also explains why every single individual must count the Omer. The acceptance of the Torah is described with the words, "All the people answered with one voice, and said, 'All the words that G-d has spoken, we will do" (Shemos 24:3). The Sefer Chassidim explains that the Jews were required to possess perfect unity—to speak "with one yoice"—to receive the Torah. If even one Israelite had refused the Torah, it could not have been given.2

Moreover, each individual had to rise from the level of slavery to that of revelation. Thus, every individual 'counted'' those days between the first Pesach and the first Shavuos.

Besides preparation for receiving the Torah, the counting of the Omer also bespeaks the Israelites' eagerness for the Torah, for they had realized that they would receive the Torah seven weeks after the Exodus, and they literally counted the days in anticipation.3 Here, too, each individual shared in this excitement.

II. Shavuos: Culminating the Advance Toward Freedom

Pesach is known as z'man cheiruseinu—the festival of our freedom, marking the Exodus, when we were freed from Egyptian slavery. This was only physical liberation—only the first step toward true freedom, which was realized on Shavuos with the acceptance of the Torah. As our Sages teach, "The only truly free man is he who is devoted to the Torah" (Avos 6:2).

Many people question this, wondering how total subjugation to the Torah can be equated to complete freedom. But the concept is actually not that difficult. Nothing in the world is truly free. Natural phenomena are bound by laws of nature. And there are laws and rules—natural and man-made—that govern both the individual and society. Further, a man ruled by his passions is also in no sense free. . . . A careful analysis would reveal that true freedom simply does not exist in the material world, for nowhere is any creature free to do whatever it desires.

In the final analysis, only G-d is truly free, for of all that exists, only G-d can do exactly what He wants, at any time that He chooses, with no restraints whatsoever. Stated in another way, true freedom only exists with G-d. Man in search of freedom must seek association with G-d, and his only link to G-d is through Torah—G-d's word. Therefore, ultimate freedom can only be realized through total devotion to Torah.

With the first Pesach, the Israelites had their first taste of freedom. They then eagerly counted the days until they would gain total, absolute freedom, through receiving the Torah.

Freedom: Beyond the Beast

In a sense, freedom is an essential ingredient of humanity, for the ability to consider and select his options is a feature peculiar to man. Thus, one can say that with the acceptance of the Torah, the Israelites first realized their potential to be truly human in the fullest sense of the word. They now had the freedom to totally transcend their animal nature.

This is also alluded to in the Omer, for the word "omer" means sheaf, and according to the Oral Tradition, the Omer on the second day of Pesach consisted of a sheaf of barley. The Abarbanel explains that barley is normally animal food⁵, signifying that immediately after the Exodus the Israelites had not yet transcended their animal nature.

On Shavuos, however, the offering was two loaves of bread made from pure wheat flour. Wheat is a human food, indicating that with the acceptance of the Torah, the Israelites gained full human status.

Moreover, the Omer offering consisted of meal, while the offering on Shavuos consisted of fully baked breads. Flour is but the first step in converting grain into human food; bread is the final product. On Pesach, the Israelites had taken their first step toward realizing their true humanity; on Shavuos, they took the final step.

"Chametz" as an Offering

Also significant is that the Two Breads of Shavuos were leavened bread (*chametz*). This is in sharp distinction to Pesach, when *chametz* is absolutely forbidden.

As the Talmud states, chametz represents the Evil

Inclination (Yeitzer Hora), and on Pesach, the Yeitzer Hora was totally negated. As long as the Israelites were bound to the Yeitzer Hora they could not even begin their advance toward freedom. The banishment of the Yeitzer Hora was represented by the banishment of all leaven from each Israelite's possession.

a

lc

ť

ti

n

tá

tł

Ţ

a

2

p

d

5

0

n

j€

S

d

þ

r

n

iı

ť.

ν

Т

u

L

Υ

k

f:

On Shavuos, however, the Israelites reached a level of true freedom and total self-discipline. On this level, one can make use of the Yeitzer Hora to serve G-d. Our Sages interpret: "You shall love the Lord your G-d with all (parts of) your heart"—even with the Yeitzer Hora, directing us to harness drives usually associated with evil, and direct them toward good. On that first Shavuos, this was achieved without conscious effort. Since even the Yeitzer Hora could now be used as a means of coming close to G-d, the Shavuos offering also included chametz.

This can be understood on a deeper level in light of a teaching of the Baal Shem Tov. The Talmud states that in the end of days, G-d will slaughter ("le'shochto"—from schecht) the Yeitzer Hora. The Baal Shem notes that the Talmud employs the term normally used for ritual slaughter of kosher animals. He concludes that just as shechita (ritual slaughter) renders an animal kosher, so too will "slaughter" of the Yeitzer Hora render it "kosher"—that is, transform it into an angel of good.

Since receiving the Torah raised the Israelites to a level approximating that of the world of the future, they could deal with the Yeitzer Hora virtually as a good angel. In recognition of this, the Shavuos offering specifically designated use of leaven. This lofty spiritual level was lost with the Sin of the Golden Calf.

III. Two Loaves, Two Tablets

Also of significance is that two loaves were offered, corresponding to the Two Tablets containing the Ten Commandments: and the reason for the number in both cases is very similar.

All through the Book of Shemos, the Tablets are referred to as Luchos Ha'bris—Tablets of the Covenant. The generation that had entered the Covenant at Sinai was still alive, and to them the Tablets served as a tangible sign of this covenant. In Devarim they are referred to as Luchos Ha'eidos—Tablets of Testimony. Since the majority of the people alive then were born after the revelation at Sinai, the Tablets then bore witness to this great event.

The Midrash explains that this is one of the reasons that there were two tablets. Just as testimony is only valid when taken from two witnesses, so too did the testimony of the Tablets require the presence of two.

The paradigm of a covenant (bris) was the "covenant between the halves" (Bris bein HaBesarim) in which animals were cut in half, and Abraham walked between the sections. Rashi explains that this is the thrust of every covenant: the makers of the covenant accompany

he Yeitzer lites were ven begin ent of the tent of all

ed a level this level, G-d. Our G-d with zer Hora, ated with that first us effort, used as a s offering

light of a states that hochto"— tem notes used for udes that in animal ter Hora n angel of

elites to a ne future, as a good ing specispiritual alf."

e offered, 3 the Ten er in both

ablets are lovenant. It at Sinai as a tanee referred Since the after the ess to this

e reasons
y is only
o did the
of two.
'covenant
in which
I between
thrust of
company

April, 1979

each other between the sections of a divided entity. This also is alluded to in the two-ness of the tablets and the loaves.

IV. The Bridge of Sevens

There is also significance in the duration of the purification process of the Omer—49 days. As Rabbi Samson Raphael Hirsch notes (based on the Zohar), many types of impurity are purged in a seven-day purification period. Cleansing can be understood as an act of re-creation and rebirth, with the period of cleansing paralleling the seven days of creation.

Before the Torah was given, however, the Israelites were required to undergo a most thorough cleansing—not only of their own impurities, but of all those that tainted the entire world from the time of creation. Thus, the requisite period of cleansing was seven times seven days.

V. Omer: A Period of Mourning

All of the themes associated with the Omer—freedom, preparation and anticipation for receiving the Torah—would lead one to think that these particular weeks would be a time of rejoicing and celebration. But instead, it is a period of national mourning when weddings and similar happy events are forbidden, as are cutting of hair and shaving. This is because the 24,000 students of Rabbi Akiva died during this period. It might seem strange that these great men died during these weeks, and even more surprising that this should be enough to endow this entire period with a spirit of mourning.

But the Talmud records that they died because they were somehow faulted for lacking proper respect for one another. The Midrash also states that in some minute way—surely undetectable to us—they were jealous of each other.¹¹

Rabbi Akiva's students were the greatest Torah scholars of their time, and as the Talmud states, their death left the world "desolate." During this period of preparation for receiving the Torah, they should have put aside any differences—no matter how minute—that may have existed between them; they should have lived in perfect harmony and unity. Since they maintained their so-called rivalries, even during this period, they were punished precisely during these crucial weeks.*

As mentioned earlier, the very act of receiving the Torah required total unity on the part of the Jews.

*Actually the plague that killed Rabbi Akiva's students only lasted until Lag Be'Omer (18 Iyar), 12 as the Ashkenazim refer to it—or Lag LeOmer, in the Sephardic tradition.

Lag BeOmer is best known as the Yahrtzeit of Rabbi Shimon bar Yochai, but it also had a significance much earlier. In the Talmud, it is known as P'ros HaEtzeres." The Yerushalmi explains that the laws of a festival are taught for 30 days preceeding the festival, and the midpoint of this 30 day period is known as the "pros" of the festival. (It is at this time that the charity bins were emptied.) The P'ros of Shavuos falls of Lag BeOmer."

Without such unity, our acceptance of the Torah cannot be complete. And without Torah, we are nothing. It is therefore taught that the Temple was destroyed because of the sin of unwarranted hatred (sinas chinam), for in a state of cleavage and rivalry we were without Torah and totally lacking in the merit required for having the Temple in our midst.

Rabbi Levi Yitzchak of Berditchov teaches that since the Temple was destroyed because of hatred, we cannot expect it to be rebuilt unless we purge this hatred from our midst.¹⁵

We therefore continue to mourn the death of Rabbi Akiva's students, as we continue to mourn the destruction of the Temple. For we know that as long as the Temple is not rebuilt, we have not yet rectified the stain resulting from a lack of unity of these students. Until we do, the Temple cannot be rebuilt, and the galus cannot end. Only when we learn to have the fullest measure of respect for one another and live in unity can we be worthy of the true Redemption.

Notes

- 1. Menachos 65b. According to many authorities, this means that one person cannot exempt another; see Sefer HaAgudah ad loc.; Teshuvos Rashba 126; Levush 489:1. Also see P'ri Chadash; Chok Yaakov. Although there is a general rule that "listening is like answering" (shome'a keoneh; Sukkah 38b), this case is seen as an exception. This is because the commandment here is to count rather than to speak, and hence even if one writes the correct date he fulfills his obligation; Magen Shaul 20. Cf. Ramban on Vayikra 23:15. Just as one cannot exempt another by writing the Omer date for him, so is he unable to exempt him by saying it. This is also the reason that, unlike conventional prayers, one must understand what he is saying when counting the Omer. See Magen Avraham.
 - 2. Sefer Chassidim 233.
 - 3. Sefer HaChinuch 306; Ran (on Rif), end of Pesachim.
- 4. Hence, "You are called 'man,' and not non-Jews,"
- 5. Cf. Sotah 9a. The Zohar also likens this to the offering of a Sotah; cf. Numbers 5:15, Rashi ad loc.
 - 6. Berachos 17a.
- 7. Berachos 54a. Freedom from the Angel of Death is equivalent to freedom from the Yeitzer Hora,: see Bava Basra 16a.
- 8. Sukkah 52a. See Toldos Yaakov Yoseif, Kedoshim (Koretz, 1780), p. 98d.
- 9. One may then wonder why the Israelites sinned if they no longer had a Yeitzer Hora? The Gemora explains that this was part of a divine plan to teach the ways of repentence to the world; Avodah Zara 4b.
 - 10. Yevamos 62b.
 - 11. Bereishis Rabbah 61:3.
- 12. Me'iri ad loc. states that this is a tradition from the Gaonim. See infra.
 - 13. Shekalim 3:1, Yerushalmi ad loc.; Bechoros 57b, 58a.
- 14. Abudarham, quoted in Bais Yosef, Orach Chaim 493. However, see Rambam Yad, Bechoros 7:8.
- Kedushas Levi, Peirush HaAgados (Jerusalem, 1958)
 p. 409.

The Jewish Observer / April, 1979

THE JEWISH OBSERVER (ISSN 0021-6615) is published monthly, except July and August, by the Agudath Israel of America, 5 Beekman Street, New York, N.Y. 10038. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y. Subscription \$9.00 per year; two years, \$17.50; three years, \$25.00; outside of the United States, \$10.00 per year.

Single copy, \$1.25 Printed in the U.S.A.

RABBI NISSON WOLPIN **Fditor**

Editorial Board DR ERNST L. BODENHEIMER Chairman RABBI NATHAN BULMAN RABBI TOSEPH ELIAS JOSEPH FRIEDENSON RABBI MOSHE SHERER

THE JEWISH OBSERVER does not assume responsibility for the Kashrus of any product or service advertised in its pages.

Copyright 1979 APRIL, 1979 VOL. XIII, NO. 10

in this issue . . .

"Peace"—The Anatomy of a Dramatic Change, Ezriel Toshavi
Combatting the Intermarriage Crisis and Some of the Solutions, Nisson Wolpin 6
When the Sun Set at Midday: An Appreciation of Rabbi Raphoel Boruch Sorotzkin זצ"ל, Rabbi Chaim Dov Keller
The Omer - Some Reflections, Rabbi Aryeh Kaplan 17
Addenda — Books in Review
Postscripts: Last of the Leningrad Trial
Second Looks at the Jewish scene Of Death and Life, Rabbi Shlomo Kahn
Letters to the Editor

Subscribe T Renew or Give Now and

SAVE

Clip. and save — — The Jewish Observer

5 Beekman Street / New York, N.Y. 10038 ☐ Two years \$17.50 and si ☐ One year \$9.00 ☐ Three years \$25.00 Send Magazine to: Name..... Address lotwi City State/Zip I he fu From: Name..... Address City State/Zip □ Enclose gift card □ Bill me: \$...... □ Enclosed: \$.......

he Jeu

The /elco

as g

tate

var v

nem

gerei

ne ba

Tru

nto b

or wl

ecora

layei

the

Mo

ζRΙΕΙ